



RESEARCH TO HARNESS BIOLOGICAL PARTNERSHIPS FOR MARSH AND SEAGRASS RESTORATION

Salt marshes and other coastal habitats have been degraded worldwide by development, altered river flows, and other human impacts. This degradation can result in a loss of flood protection, reduced shellfish production, and increased nutrient pollution. Many land managers have sought to mitigate these effects through large-scale restoration—planting marsh grasses, seagrasses, oysters, and other ecologically important species. But restoration can be prohibitively expensive, and it has at times required repeated replanting. Recently, small-scale field experiments have suggested that restoration could be improved by taking advantage of natural partnerships between organisms, both within the same species and between different species. If these effects could be harnessed for restoration, it could increase success rates and reduce costs.

To test whether this can work at large scales and under a variety of real-world conditions, the Lenfest Ocean Program is supporting Dr. Brian Silliman of Duke University and colleagues to conduct experiments at four active restoration sites around the world.

The potential of biological partnerships

Current restoration practice stipulates that managers should space out plantings of grasses and other organisms to ensure they do not compete with each other for space or nutrients. But this design does not take advantage of the benefits of biological partnerships, in which mutual aid outweighs any competition between the partners. Such partnerships are common in stressful environments such as degraded marshes. For example, experiments have shown that, when oxygen is scarce, marsh grasses planted in clumps grow twice as fast as dispersed plantings because they can share oxygen through their roots. Partnerships also form between different species, such as when predators remove grass-eating crabs, or clams increase survival rates of seagrasses by removing toxic sulfides from the water. These findings are promising, but their wider utility is unknown because researchers have only tested them at small scales, with a handful of species, and under a narrow range of ecological conditions.

“ IF WE CAN
MAKE USE OF
COOPERATION
BETWEEN SPECIES
AT SCALE, WE
COULD AS MUCH
AS DOUBLE THE
SUCCESS RATE
OF COASTAL
RESTORATION,
WITH LITTLE OR NO
ADDED COST. ”

- Brian Silliman

Testing partnerships at scale, and around the world

To test the utility of biological partnerships at scale, Dr. Silliman and colleagues plan to conduct field experiments embedded within active restoration projects around the world. They will ask two similar questions at each site: Can clumped planting of a single species increase success (as measured by growth rate and area covered), and reduce planting costs relative to dispersed planting? And, can a partnership between plants and either predators or habitat-forming shellfish have a similar effect?

The team will work at four restoration sites on three continents, selected to cover diverse regions and ecological conditions. The following describes the work at each site:

- North Carolina: The first experiment at this site will compare clumped versus dispersed plantings of cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*), placed either near to or far from oyster reefs (*Crassostrea virginica*). The second will test the reverse: clumped versus dispersed oysters, planted either close to or far from restored marshes. In addition to success rates, the team will measure oxygen levels, predation rates, and wave stress. They will estimate costs in terms of labor and materials needed to reach the desired coverage of the habitat.
- Wadden Sea, Europe: The Wadden Sea is a portion of the North Sea that borders The Netherlands, Germany, and Denmark. The researchers will use the same design as in North Carolina with different taxa: the seagrass *Zostera* and the blue mussel *Mytilus*.
- California: The project will test biological partnerships embedded within an effort to restore marshes by replacing lost sediment. The researchers will again compare clumped versus dispersed plantings of marsh grass. But instead of planting shellfish, they will test whether excluding sea otters (*Enhydra lutris*) has any effect on the grass plantings via increases in grass-eating crabs (*Pecigrapsus*). They will compare costs in terms of how many times added sediment is likely to be needed over the next 20 years.
- China: Similar to the California experiments, the researchers will test dispersed versus clumped marsh grass (*Salicornia*), as well as simulated predation of grass-eating crabs (*Hemigrapsus*) by majestic red cranes (*Grus*). Cost assessment will be similar to the North Carolina site.

If the results from small-scale experiments translate to large-scale restoration, this project could as much as double the success rates of plantings, which in turn would greatly reduce costs. The project is intended to last from 2018 through 2021, and to result in peer-reviewed studies and new restoration techniques. Monitoring of the experimental plots is set to continue at least through 2028.

Contact

For any questions, please contact Emily Knight, Manager, Lenfest Ocean Program, at eknight@lenfestocean.org. To learn more about this research and stay up to date on our latest projects, follow us on Twitter @lenfestocean or sign up for our newsletter at lenfestocean.org.



Brian Silliman, Duke University

Salt marsh cordgrass, *spartina alterniflora* and American Oyster, *Crassostrea virginica* in Sapelo Island, GA.

901 E Street NW, Washington DC 20004
E info@lenfestocean.org lenfestocean.org
P 202.540.6389

Lenfest Ocean Program was established in 2004 by the Lenfest Foundation and is managed by The Pew Charitable Trusts

SUPPORTING SCIENCE
AND COMMUNICATING
RESULTS.